



DOGE vs. Illegal Voting

May 15, 2025

Only five people. What's the big deal?

The Justice Department is prosecuting five recently stumbled-upon cases of illegal voting.

The *Washington Times* [reports](#) that the fraudsters include a Ukrainian mother and daughter, a Jamaican woman, and a Colombian man “who had been deported three times [and who] stole and lived under the identity” of an American citizen.

“I don’t think five cases is evidence of a systems-wide problem,” says Omar Nouralden of Common Cause. Surely too few to justify investigations or voting requirements

that might curb voter fraud if only there were any.

One wonders how journalists like John Fund found material for investigative works like *Stealing Elections: How Voter Fraud Threatens Our Democracy*, published in 2004, or *Our Broken Elections: How the Left Changed the Way You Vote*, published in 2021.

The story quoting Nouralden mentions the Department of Government Efficiency’s referral of 57 cases of illegal aliens voting in the 2024 election. So that’s more than five recent examples. And DOGE isn’t done yet.

Willful negligence in conduct of elections is part of the problem. Specific fraud by specific persons is part of the problem.

Nouralden and others object to voter ID. They also criticize as invasive the new efforts by DOGE to find evidence of fraud, that rarity of our political life. (Of course, non-DOGE government personnel already have access to the voting and registration records.)

If there’s no big problem, DOGE won’t find a big problem. Let it hunt.

This is Common Sense. I’m Paul Jacob.