



DEI Realities Unreported

December 5, 2024

The high tide of DEI policies — which reward racial affiliation, gender affiliation or gender wishing, group-think, and group-wackiness at the expense of sanity and individual merit — seems to be starting to recede.

But we're not on safe ground yet. One example of rearguard action by the proponents of these lunacies is the willingness of major publications to hide evidence of harm caused by DEI.

Colin Wright [reports](#) that both *The New York Times* and Bloomberg have “shelved coverage of a groundbreaking

study that raises serious concerns about the psychological impacts of diversity, equity, and inclusion pedagogy.”

The Network Contagion Research Institute [finds](#) that DEI ideology incites hostility (between members of favored and disfavored groups, you see) and authoritarianism (by bullies eager for new weapons to intimidate and control others).

When presented with various scenarios, participants in the study who had first been exposed to DEI propaganda were much more likely than participants who hadn't been thus exposed to impute racism to agents in the scenario—even when no evidence to justify the accusation was also presented in the scenario.

Wright suggests that at both the *Times* and Bloomberg, reports-in-progress about the research were killed outright by editors whose decisions to spike the story “align conspicuously with the ideological leanings” of those editors.

NCRI's work confirms what we know about the dishonesty, injustice, and destructiveness of the DEI enterprise.

As does the conduct of certain gatekeepers.

This is Common Sense. I'm Paul Jacob.