

Communists Within the Form

August 21, 2024

President "They're not communists," comedian Dave Smith recently told Tucker Carlson, referring to leading Democrats such as Nancy Pelosi and Kamala Harris. "They work for big business!"

Sure, but beside the point: they spew out commie talking points not as an excuse to overthrow the state and set up a communist one, but *to overthrow the last vestiges of the Constitution* — free-speech rights, private property rights, the whole shebang—and *consolidate power* in the corporatist, neomercantilist fascism that yearns to squelch all dissent.

National candidates talking "far left" allows gullible left-leaners to back powerful insiders against the *real* outsiders, the churchgoers, the small business owners and entrepreneurs, free-lance professionals and the like.

The real revolution is what Garet Garrett, expanding upon Aristotle,

called "revolution within the form."

So, are Kamala Harris and Tim Walz just "useful idiots" preparing the way for the plutocrats' totalitarian end game?

Would-be Cackler-in-Chief aside, the *Washington Free Beacon*'s Alana Goodman <u>posits</u> that Walz may be an out-and-out communist:

- "As a high school teacher in the 1990s, Democratic vice-presidential candidate and Minnesota governor Tim Walz appeared to extol life under Chinese communism, telling his students that it is a system in which 'everyone shares' and gets free food and housing."
- "Walz's rosy description of communism in China is similar to his recent controversial remark that 'one person's socialism is another person's neighborliness.' It also reflects his longstanding ties to the country."
- "After returning to the United States in the early 1990s, Walz started leading trips to China for American high school students, with support from the Chinese government. The trips were 'arranged by a friend of Walz in China's foreign affairs department,' the Star-Herald reported at the time. The Chinese government also provided some of the funding for the program."

True-believing communists in the old style? Or just woke, post-Marxist totalitarians?

It hardly matters when the point of what they say is not the dogma, but the performance, allowing them to revolt against *us*, and the constitutional order we rely upon.

This is Common Sense. I'm Paul Jacob.