Common Sense

Paul Jacob

and bear arms shall not be infringed.

or a bridging the freedom of speech , or of the he

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of **religion**, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging **the freedom of speech**, or of the press; or the right of the people **peaceably to assemble**, and to **petition** the Government for a redress of grievances.

ThisIsCommonSense.org

Most Important Time Periods

March 21, 2024

The attorneys general of a few states, a few activist groups, and a few congressmen have acted to bring to light a mass of eyewitness and documentary evidence that the federal government has been working hard, behind the scenes, to censor our speech.

The guilty parties have been caught red-handed.

Now that the matter is <u>before the U.S. Supreme Court</u>, reports on oral arguments suggest that not every justice is as acquainted with the point of the Bill of Rights as we'd like.

Its function is to stop government from doing various rights-violating things at will. But Justice Ketanji says:

"Your view has the First Amendment hamstringing the government in significant ways in most important time periods."

Justice Kagan, chiming in: "I'm really worried about that."

Tyrants worry about having too little flexibility to stomp our speech "in most important time periods," prevention of which stomping is the very purpose of the First Amendment.

We, for our part, worry about having our speech stomped.

Some of the justices also seem not to grasp that when government officials contact you and ask you to do this and that, no *overt* threats are necessary for officials to rely on the threat of governmental power.

The bossing is not always subtle, though. Perusing the evidence, Justice Alito says he couldn't imagine officials "taking that approach to the print media." The federal speech police treat "Facebook and these other platforms like they're subordinates."

Are they?

This is Common Sense. I'm Paul Jacob.