

## Stuck With It?

November 27, 2023

Poland told Pfizer to stick it elsewhere. Now Pfizer's <u>suing</u> for failure to pay for all the jabs . . . that Poland didn't use. Or take. Or even allow in the country.

Pfizer's a big company, of course, but you know we're not talking about <u>Celebrex</u> or <u>Fentanyl Citrate</u> or <u>Sonata</u> here. We're talking about The Jab. The one developed with BioNTech and contracted for by governments around the world.

As near as I can make out, it's a breach of contract case.

But with a wrinkle.

Poland put a halt to pushing Pfizer's COVID vaccine in April of 2022, and the people generally seem just fine with it, seeing as how they have a much, much lower

rate of excess deaths now than does, say, Sweden, which pushed the vax for far longer.

But why *couldn't* Poland simply stop usage of the jab?

After all, a customer shouldn't be forced to take a medication, right?

Well, the contract was not between Pfizer and Poles individually — this is the modern, statist world, after all — or even collectively, corporately, through the state. The contract was between Pfizer and the European Union!

And elements were secret.

The Polish government, placed on the hook for the drug, was not allowed to see the whole contract.

Think of this as just one of the many ways that politicians who bash Big Pharma bent over backwards to give Big Pharma cushy, cushy deals.

But in court, how will those secret clauses play? I suspect that Pfizer's prognosis may be negative.

Which would be a healthy outcome.

This is Common Sense. I'm Paul Jacob.