

The Expert De-Platformed

January 13, 2022

Dr. Robert Malone researched mRNA technology in the 1980s at the Salk Institute for Biological Studies. He helped develop mRNA vaccines. He is a founder of Atheric Pharmaceutical. He's got plenty of credentials.

So you might think social media companies would respect his voice in the area of his expertise.

But no: for disputing official government assurances about the super-safeness

“If I’m not allowed to speak about my concerns, whether they’re right or wrong . . . who is a valid person to participate in the dialogue?”

of the vaccines, he's been banned by Twitter (and copycat LinkedIn).

What precisely did he say that triggered the social media giants?

Well, Dr. Malone argues that for many youngsters the risks (like myocarditis) of being vaccinated outweigh the benefits of being vaccinated against what is a very low-risk infection for most younger people.



“I may be one of the very few that has this depth of understanding of the technology that doesn't have a direct financial conflict of interest,” says Dr. Malone, who is himself vaccinated. “If I'm not allowed to speak about my concerns, whether they're right or wrong . . . who is a valid person to participate in the dialogue?”

Nobody, doc. It's *because* you're so credible that you finally had to be stomped by the likes of Twitter. You're *too* credible.

At the strongholds of official government doctrine, it's not about figuring out the truth, encouraging independent judgment of risks and alternatives, or logical persuasion. Argue all you want, as rationally or irrationally as you want — just as long as you hew to the protean prescribed dogma.

Unsure what the set-in-stone *dogma du jour* is, precisely, on matters pandemical and vaccinatory? That's easy. Just look up the very latest utterances of one Anthony Fauci.

This is Common Sense. I'm Paul Jacob.